

Living in Grace, Love & Obedience

Walking in Faith and the Commandments of God

“Here is the endurance of the saints; here are those who keep the commandments of God and have the faith of Jesus Christ” Revelation 12:17



What about Nephilim, daughters of men and the Book of Enoch?

This document discusses the controversial topics of angels, their offspring with the daughters of men (angel/human hybrids) and the Book of Enoch. Many believers today think these are new truths; that the Book of Enoch should be included in the canon of scripture. After all the Book of Enoch was found among the dead seas scrolls right? But have we tested these teachings against scripture? What if we misunderstood who the 'sons of God' were? What if they were not angels? Can women have offspring with angels? We hope to answer a lot of these questions and more in this newsletter.

We hope you enjoy the following information.

Contents

| | |
|--------|---|
| Page 2 | Can angels have intercourse with women? |
| Page 3 | Sons of God: Angels or Humans? |
| Page 4 | Genesis 6:2-4 Bible Commentaries pt1 |
| Page 5 | Genesis 6:2-4 Bible Commentaries pt2 |
| Page 6 | Testing the Book of Enoch against Scripture p1 |
| Page 7 | Testing the Book of Enoch against Scripture pt2 |
| Page 8 | Summary and Encouragement |



Pseudepigrapha comes from two Greek words pseudo meaning “false” and epigraphain meaning “to inscribe”, (false writings). Pseudepigrapha are books that attempt to imitate scripture and were falsely attributed to biblical characters. These books were written anywhere from 200 BC to 300 AD, and after. These books were rejected as Scripture because they were... 1. Written under false names. 2. Contained anachronisms, historical and factual errors. As believers we need to “test everything” 1 Thessalonians 5:21

Can angels have offspring with women?

Many believers today have taken up the belief that fallen angels reproduced with the 'daughters of men' and this union produced the Nephilim - giants (angel/human hybrids). This belief usually comes from the Book of Enoch but what does scripture actually teach?


In the beginning we see YHWH creating everything "after its own kind" ref. Genesis 1:11-12 & 1:21-25. Adam and Eve were told to 'be fruitful and multiple' ref. Genesis 1:28. We even see the animals 'after their kind' in Genesis 6-8 during time of Noah's ark and the flood. This principle, that everything reproduces after its own kind, disproves that angels could reproduce with mankind. We never see any teaching from YHWH stating angels can reproduce with women in Scripture.

Yeshua (Jesus) the Messiah said angels "neither marry nor are given in marriage" ref. Mark 12:25 and Matthew 22:30. Why? Because angels are spirits and cannot reproduce amongst themselves. They are created spiritual beings and their numbers are limited. Even if they could reproduce, they could only do so 'after their own kind' ref. Psalm 104:4 & Hebrews 1:7. Yeshua also stated that spirits (angels) do not have flesh and bones as he did ref. Luke 24:39. With that being said, angels are spirit beings with no sexual organs for reproduction. YHWH only created a finite number of angels

Note: originally both Orthodox Judaism and early Christians rejected the idea of angels reproducing with women. They took the stance that the term "sons of God" actually referring to mankind. That the "sons of God" were formerly righteous descendants of Seth, who rebelled against YHWH; and the "daughters of men" were the unrighteous descendants of Cain who also rejected YHWH. This joining of men and women produced the 'mighty men of old, the men of renown' ref. Genesis 6:4. Notice the offspring were not angel/man hybrids but 'children' who grew and became 'men of renown'.

Another supposed proof there are angel/human hybrids is that the phrase "sons of God" but it's applied to men many times (Matthew 5:9, Luke 20:34-40 Romans 8:14 & 19, Galatians 3:26) and only a couple of times in regards to angels (Job 1:6 & 2:1). This leads us to believe its possible that "sons of God" means those who are submitted and follow YHWH, or at least at one time, be they humans or angels. It's entirely possible that this is an idiom, which we will look at later on in this newsletter.

The only time we see angels reproducing with mankind in history, is during the times of Greek mythology and after. This leads us to believe the Book of Enoch was written long after the scriptures were codified. We will look more at the Book of Enoch later on.



Did "Sons of God" always mean Angels?

Was it always defined this way? From the 2nd century early Christian and rabbinic literature defined and understood that "sons of God" from Genesis 6:1-4 meant the offspring of Seth joined with the daughters of Cain. Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai, Augustine of Hippo, Julius Africanus and the Letters attributed to Saint Clement supported this understanding.

All of the earliest interpretations of "sons of God" meaning "fallen angel" did not occur until the 3rd century and later. This was about 100 or so years after the original interpretation. This corresponds with the appearance of psuedopigrapha during these times and after. This interpretation was not based on the Scriptures but rather upon false works that were written (psuedopigrapha) much later. Just because we were brought up thinking it was "angels" doesn't mean it was always so. Shalom.



Sons of God: Angels or Human beings?

There are many people who believe that Genesis 6:1-8 is referring to angels when it speaks of 'sons of God' so lets look at what the passage actually says...

"Now it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born to them,² that the "sons of God" saw the "daughters of men", that they *were* beautiful; and they took wives for themselves of all whom they chose.³ And the YHWH said, "My Spirit shall not strive with man forever, for he *is* indeed flesh; yet his days shall be one hundred and twenty years."⁴ There were giants on the earth in those days, and also afterward, when the "sons of God" came in to the "daughters of men" and they bore *children* to them. Those *were* the mighty men of old, men of renown.

⁵Then YHWH saw that the wickedness of man *was* great in the earth, and every thought of his heart *was* only evil continually.⁶ And YHWH was sorry that He had made man on the earth, and He was grieved in His heart.⁷ So YHWH said, "I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth, both man and beast, creeping thing and birds of the air, for I am sorry that I have made them."⁸ But Noah found grace in the eyes of YHWH." Genesis 6:1-8

Notice the word 'man' and 'men' used in this passage is the Hebrew word **אָדָם** adam meaning man, or human being. The Hebrew word for "angel" is **מַלְאָכִים** mal'ak is not found in this text. The context is male human beings that took female human beings as wives and the resulting union begot children, which were also human beings. In verse 4 we see "men of renown" and the word "men" is the Hebrew word **אֲנָשִׁים** 'enowsh meaning man, person, human being, an individual man or men in the collective.

So in this passage there are no angels or angel/human

hybrids. The early interpretations continued into the Middle Ages (5th to late 15th century) stating the "sons of God", via the Targum and other Jewish texts, were merely human beings of exalted social positions from the line of Seth and or mighty pagan rulers.

The problem with believing that "sons of God" are angels blurs the truth of YHWH's word. In scripture we see that angels who rebelled were judged and punished individually with no hope of redemption. On the other hand, humans fell corporately in Adam and were redeemed corporately through Yeshua the Messiah. Never in scripture do we see angel/human hybrid judgment, punishment or chance of redemption. Lets look at Hebrews 2:16-17...

"For indeed he (the Messiah) does not give aid to angels, but he does give aid to the seed of Abraham. Therefore, in all things he had to be made like his brethren, that he might be a merciful and faithful High Priest in things pertaining to YHWH, to make propitiation for the sins of the people."

Another proof that there are no angel/human hybrids is in the writings of the Paul, the Apostle to the non-Jewish believers. Actually it's the absence of the topic that we have to be concerned with. Paul instructed the non-Jewish believers about everything they needed to know and there is no teaching about angel/human hybrids (Nephilim). Also consider the missing parts of Nephilim in relation to John 3:16 and other passages; there is no "YHWH loved the whole world, except the Nephilim and watch out because they are still here today...". Paul never teaches that Nephilim have the opportunity for salvation or that they are doomed for hell. Rather he focuses on the gospel, walking the in commandments as a by-product of the grace, etc.

Going back to Genesis 6:3 YHWH stated that his spirit would not strive with man (human beings) and again we see no mention of angel/human hybrids. Unfortunately many still believe that the "sons of God" were Nephilim (giant angel/human hybrids).

In summary we need to study the scriptures and see how one idiom or phrase can be applied to different entities. We just need to take the time to do it. Shalom

Bible commentaries about Genesis 6:2-4

Many are surprised when they find out many bible commentaries teach that the “sons of God” in Genesis 6:2-4 means human beings and not angel/human hybrids. Let’s look at some of them.



“Sons of God” an idiom about Covenant status?

In scripture we see the phrase “sons of God” being used with mankind (John 1:12, Romans 8:14, 1 John 3:1-2, etc.). We also see the phrase “children of God” throughout scripture and it always means humans who are in covenant with YHWH. But did you know the morning stars are also referred to as “sons of God” in Job 38:7? Then we see Job 1: & 2:1 where angels are called “sons of God”. How can all these be called “sons of God”? Maybe because it’s an idiom of covenant status.

An idiom is a group of words in a fixed order that have a particular meaning that is different from the meanings of each word on its own. For example the phrase “He’s a chip off the old block,” means the son is like his father. There are also biblical idioms such as “fall from grace” meaning one has rejected YHWH’s covenant.

Now is it possible that “sons of God” or “children of God” means those that are or were in covenant with YHWH? I think so. If we keep this in mind when we read scripture perhaps we can get closer to the truth on whether the “sons of God” were people or angels, depending on the circumstances.

1. The Commentary Critical and Explanatory on the Whole Bible states... “the sons of God saw the daughters of men... meant the family of Seth, who were professedly religious; by the latter, the descendants of apostate Cain. Mixed marriages between parties of opposite principles and practice were necessarily sources of extensive corruption. The women, religious themselves, would as wives and mothers exert an influence fatal to the existence of religion in their household, and consequently the people of that later age sank to the lowest depravity.”
2. The Geneva Study Bible states... “the sons of God saw the daughters of men...” (were) 1. The children of the godly who began to degenerate. 2. Those that had wicked parents, as if from Cain. 3. Having more respect for their beauty and worldly considerations than for their manners and godliness.”
3. John Gill’s Exposition of the Bible states the sons of God took the daughters of men... that these giants were begotten on the daughters of Cain by the children of Seth... the word Nephillim comes from a word which signifies to fall; and these might be so called, either because they made their fear to fall upon men... because they fell and rushed on men with great violence, and oppressed them in a cruel and tyrannical manner... because they fell off and were apostates from the true religion... A mixture of the Cainites and Sethites; which were the offspring of the sons of God...”
4. Scofield Reference Notes (1917 Edition) states... “Some hold that these “sons of God” were “angels which kept not their first estate” Jude 1:6... But this is an error (Isaiah 43:6). Angels are spoken of in a sexless way. No female angels are mentioned in Scripture, and we are expressly told that marriage is unknown among angels (Matt 22:30). The uniform Hebrew and Christian interpretation has been that Gen 6:2 marks the breaking down of the separation between the godly line of Seth and the godless line of Cain, and of the failure of the testimony of Jehovah committed to the line of Seth Gen 4:26. For apostasy there is no remedy but judgment...”
5. Wesley’s Explanatory Notes states... “The sons of God – those who were called by the name of the Lord, and called upon that name married the daughters of men – those that were profane, and strangers to God. The prosperity of Seth did not keep themselves as they ought but intermingled with the race of Cain...”

Continued on page 5...

Bible commentaries part 2

6. Commentaries on the First Book of Moses by John Calvin states... "Moses, having enumerated in order, ten patriarchs, with whom the worship of God remained pure, now relates, that their families also were corrupted... the principle is to be kept in memory, that the world was then as if divided into two parts; because the family of Seth cherished the pure and lawful worship of God, from which the rest had fallen... It was therefore, base ingratitude in the posterity of Seth, to mingle themselves with the children of Cain... concerning the intercourse of angels with women, is abundantly refuted by its own absurdity... Moses, does not distinguish the sons of God from the daughters of men, because they were of dissimilar nature... but because they were the sons of God by adoption, whom he had set apart from himself; while the rest (daughters of men) remained in their original condition (sin)."

7. Adam Clark's Bible Commentary states... "There is a distinction made here between men and those called the sons of God, it is generally supposed that the immediate posterity of Cain and that of Seth are intended. There were mere men, such as fallen nature may produce, degenerate sons of a degenerate father governed by the desire of the flesh... The others were sons of God, not angels, as some have dreamed, but such as were according to our Lord's doctrine, born again... and made children of God by the influence of the Holy Spirit. The former were apostates from the true religion, the latter were those among who it was preserved and cultivated."

8. Kretzmann's Popular Commentary states... "The Cainites had long since forsaken the Lord and His worship and lived according to the lusts of their mind. But in the course of time this corruption spread also to the families of the pious, to the sons of God, to the believers, showing itself first of all in a laxity of morals. In fifteen centuries a most remarkable increase of the human family took place, and it became increasingly difficult to maintain the discipline, which the Lord desired. The men belonging to the tribe of Seth permitted carnal considerations to influence them in the choice of their wives... They took wives to themselves of all that they chose, no longer as pious helpmates in a marriage in chastity and honor, but for the mere gratification of their sensual desires. Thus the corruption of the Cainites was brought into the Church of God before the Flood."

9. The Jamison Bible Commentary states... "the sons of God saw the daughters of men - By the former is meant the family of Seth, who were professedly religious; by the latter, the descendants of apostate Cain. Mixed marriages between parties of opposite principles and practice were necessarily sources of extensive corruption. The women, religious themselves, would as wives and mothers exert an influence fatal to the existence of religion in their household, and consequently the people of that later age sank to the lowest depravity."

10. The Easy English Bible and Commentary states... "Some people think that the 'sons of God' were *angels or spirits. But another explanation is that they were *descendants of Seth. If that is the meaning, then the 'daughters of men' would be *descendants of Cain. We do know this. The 'sons of God' were choosing wives for the wrong reason. They chose women that were pretty. But they needed to choose women that were good. God's people often have to behave in a different way from other people. This is because God's people belong to God. Seth's *descendants knew how to please God. They understood *sacrifice. They knew how to be friends of God. So they should not have associated with evil people."

11. The Chumash, Stone Edition states... "Literally the 'sons of G-d' are the G-d fearing descendants of Seth, while the daughters of men are the iniquitous descendants of Cain."

There are more ten commentaries that have the same interpretations but these give us an idea who the "sons of God" and "daughters of men" were/are. From what the author has seen so far, there are more commentaries interpreting "sons of God" as humans be they rulers or people from the line of Seth who married the "daughters of men", the women from the line of Cain that rejected YHWH's rule and authority. Now there are commentaries who interpret "sons of God" as angels but they are in the minority. As we research this topic we must rely on the bible as our standard of measure, and not on other books outside the canon of scripture. History has shown when we bring in sources not based on scripture it often results in misunderstandings, errors, false teachings and worse. As 1 Thessalonians 5:12 states "Test everything and hold fast to what is good". Shalom

Testing the Book of Enoch

Some believers hold to the belief that the “sons of God” in Genesis 6:4 does not mean humans but angels who had intercourse with the daughters of men. This belief is supported mainly supported by the Book of Enoch. Many claim this book should be included in the canon of scripture but has anyone actually tested it against scripture? The following presents a number of false teachings found in the Book of Enoch when tested against scripture. This is not an all-inclusive list but it will start you on the path in determining whether this book should be included on the same authority of scripture.

1. YHWH created the sun and moon and they are the same size.

Book of Enoch: “As he rises, so he sets and decreases not, and rests not (sun), but runs day and night... but as regards to size they are both equal” 1 Enoch 69:6 “These are two great luminaries... the size of the circumference of both is alike” 1 Enoch 78:3

Scripture: Genesis 1:16 states the sun is larger than the moon. 1 Corinthians 15:41 states the sun is greater than the moon.

Conclusion: The Book of Enoch teaches and promotes lies about the sun and moon being the same size.

2. Eve was deceived by the angel Gadreel?

Book of Enoch: “... Gadreel, he it is who showed the children of men all the blows of death and he led astray Eve...” 1 Enoch 69:6

Scripture: Genesis 3:1-6 states that the serpent deceived Eve. Genesis 3:13 Eve states “the serpent deceived me, and I did eat”. Revelation 12:9 states that Satan is the serpent.

Conclusion: Book of Enoch says an angel Gadreel, deceived and led Eve astray which is in direct conflict with the Torah and the New Testament.

3. Tree of knowledge fruit is holy & wise or sin, shame and a curse?

Book of Enoch: “... the tree of knowledge, whose holy fruit they eat and know great wisdom.” 1 Enoch 32:3 “The tree of knowledge also was there, of which if anyone eats, he becomes endowed with great wisdom” 1 Enoch 32:6

Scripture: Genesis 2:15-17 YHWH said “... in the day that you eat it (fruit of the tree of knowledge) you will surely die.” James 1:15 states the result of sin is death. Nowhere in scripture do we see that eating the fruit of the tree of knowledge leads to wisdom, rather we see that fearing YHWH leads to wisdom ref. Job 28:28, Psalm 111:10, Proverbs 1:7 & 9:10.

Conclusion: Enoch says the fruit endows people with great wisdom but scripture states fearing YHWH leads to wisdom. The Book of Enoch teaches and promotes the opposite of what scripture teaches.

4. Sin entered the world through Adam or by Azazel?

Book of Enoch: “and the whole earth has been corrupted through the works that were taught by Azazel: to him ascribe all sin.” 1 Enoch 10:8.

Scripture: “wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned.” Romans 5:12 & 18-19.

Conclusion: Scripture teaches that Adam disobeyed and sinned against YHWH, thus the need for the savior. The Book of Enoch falsely teaches that all sin came from the angel Azazel.

5. Did angels have sex with women and have angel/human offspring?

Book of Enoch: “some of the angels transgressed the word of the Lord... they commit sin & transgress the law and united with women... and have begotten children by them.” 1 Enoch 106:13-14 & 6:2.

Scripture: Genesis 1:24 “YHWH said, let the earth bring forth the living creature after their kind...” 1 Corinthians 15:39-40 states not all flesh is the same. Hebrews 1:14, Luke 24:39 & John 3:6 state that angels are spirits and that flesh is born of flesh.

Conclusion: Scripture teaches only humans can reproduce humans, there are no angel/human hybrids. The Book of Enoch teaches lies.

6. Angels married human women and had intercourse?

Book of Enoch: “and the angels lusted after (women) and said to one another ‘Come let us choose us wives... and begat children.’” 1 Enoch 6:2 and 12:4.

Scripture: Genesis 1:24 states all living things only reproduce after their own kind. Matthew 22:29-30

Testing Enoch pt2

Marriage, but are as the angels of YHWH (meaning they don't reproduce). Scripture also states there are a finite number of angels ref. Hebrew 12:22 & Rev 12:3-9 showing they cannot increase their numbers as they are created spirits ref. Genesis 2:1.

Conclusion: Scripture teaches humans are flesh & angels are spirit, and they don't reproduce. The bible teaches the mighty men of renown are humans ref. Genesis 6:1-4.

7. Human wives of angels turned into mythical Sirens

Book of Enoch: "And the women also of the angels who went astray shall become sirens." 1 Enoch 19:2.

Scripture: Genesis 6:17 states YHWH destroyed all flesh (mankind) through the flood, the punishment for the rampant sin in the world.

Conclusion: The Book of Enoch teaches lies about an additional punishment for women that had intercourse with angels. They ended up being magically transformed into sirens (a combination of a woman and a bird; later in the 17th century as mermaids). Note: sirens were invented in Greek mythology long after the bible was written, showing the Book of Enoch was written long after the bible.

8. Angels forcing people to confess their sins

Book of Enoch: 1 Enoch 63 says angels will force kings and mighty men to confess their sins, then be sent to sheol.

Scripture: never do we see YHWH or his angels forcing people to confess. Rather people who confess of their sins will be forgiven ref. 1 John 1:9. During the Great Judgment scripture teaches YHWH will open the books, which has all their deeds and will judge them ref. Revelation 20:12. There is no need to force a confession. Forced confessions were not seen until long after the bible was written, again showing the late authorship of the Book of Enoch.

Conclusion: The Book of Enoch promotes false teachings and unbiblical doctrines, which are in direct conflict with YHWH and his word.

9. Who built Noah's ark, angels or Noah?

Book of Enoch: "... and now the angels are making a wooden building (ark), and when they (angels) have completed the task, I will place my hand upon it and preserve it... so that the earth will not remain without

inhabitant." 1 Enoch 67:1-2.

Scripture: Genesis 6:13-16 YHWH tells Noah to build the ark. Genesis 8:4 states Noah made the ark. Hebrews 11:7 reaffirms again that YHWH had Noah build the ark, who completed the task and not angels.

Conclusion: The Book of Enoch falsely teaches that angels built the ark and not Noah. The Book of Enoch promotes lies and heresy. It 'takes away' and 'adds to' scripture, which we are commanded not to do ref. Deuteronomy 4:2 & Revelation 22:18-19.

10. Post flood all men shall be righteous & all will worship YHWH

Book of Enoch: "... and all the children of men shall become righteous and all nations.. shall praise and worship me." 1 Enoch 10:20-21

Scripture: Genesis 8:21 states that after the flood "... the imagination of men is evil from his youth." We continue to see the majority of the world continue in sin. Even after the Messiah returns we see people disobeying YHWH and his commands, even to the point of not observing the feast of tabernacles and being punished by YHWH ref. Zechariah 14:16-19.

Conclusion: The bible clearly shows the false teachings in the Book of Enoch about the post flood times. Note that Nimrod and many nations were wicked and rejected God. The Book of Enoch clearly teaches and promotes lies and heresies.


11. The Book of Enoch was found among the Dead Sea Scrolls so it has to be true

Many say the Book of Enoch was found with the Dead Sea Scrolls however, this is misleading. The Dead Sea Scroll website has 488 partial parchment scraps from the Book of Enoch. The problem is the majority only contain a couple of words, or letters and they are only a few inches in area or less. Only tiny fragments were found but yet many claim the entire book was found, which is not true. The first and only complete copy of the Book of Enoch is from the 12th century A.D. That means the only complete version with the Book of Parables is over 1,000 years after the last New Testament author/s. By the way the Book of Parables (chapters 37-71) was not found in the Dead Sea Scrolls.

Summary: There are many more examples of the false teachings and heresies in the Book of Enoch but unfortunately most people don't test it against scripture.

“The Book of Enoch Debunked” and “The Bible vs. The Book of Enoch” by Jim Garton.

Jim Garton, who is not associated with this ministry, is the author of two books, “The Book of Enoch Debunked” and “The Bible vs. the Book of Enoch.” These books use the Scriptures to test the Book of Enoch with over 175+ errors, lies, false prophecies and heresies. Unfortunately many believers, pastors and rabbis blindly follow the Book of Enoch and do not test it against scripture, and it's too their detriment. The question we need to ask ourselves is “how much false teaching, lies and heresies are acceptable to YHWH?” His word states that we are not supposed to ‘add to’ or ‘take away’ from his word. Let us keep in mind the warnings in the New Testament...



Additional resources

Galatians 1:7-9 "... but there are some who trouble you and want to pervert the gospel of Christ. But even if we or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel to you than what we have preached, let him be accursed."

Colossians 2:18-19 states "Let no one cheat you of your reward, taking delight in the false humility and worship of angels, intruding into those things which he has not seen, vainly puffed up by his fleshly mind, and not holding fast to the Head..."

2 Peter 2 states "but there were also false prophets among the people, even as there will be false teachers among you, who will secretly bring in destructive heresies, even denying the Lord who bought them, and bring on themselves swift destruction. And many will follow their destructive ways, because of whom the way of truth will be blasphemed. By covetousness they will exploit you with deceptive words; for a long time their judgment has not been idle, and their destruction does not slumber."

Lets look at the options again.

1. The “sons of God” are fallen angels who married the daughters of men and their offspring were Nephilim (angel/human hybrids) who rejected YHWH.
2. The “sons of God” are men from the line of Seth who married the women from the line of Cain; their offspring were Nephilim (great warrior leaders) who rejected YHWH.

If we test everything against scripture we find that option 1 is flawed because the phrase “sons of God” is used with men and angels, indicating the phrase is an idiom for covenant status and or following YHWH. Scripture clearly states all life on earth reproduces after its own kind. Scripture shows a limited number of angels. We also must consider there are no in-context scriptures stating angels can reproduce with humans or have offspring. Scripture also shows individual punishments for angels and mankind, with no additional punishments as we see in the Book of Enoch for the women who had intercourse with angels. The Book of Enoch has numerous conflicts and false teachings when it's tested against the scriptures. With all this evidence a reasonable person has to conclude that YHWH never created angels to have intercourse with mankind, thus the claim that Nephilim are angel/human hybrids is a false teaching, at least from the research we have conducted.

Its unfortunate but many people who believe there are angel/human hybrids, often disassociate from other believers over this matter. This is not acceptable behavior because it causes division in the body. It's a distraction from our duties as servants of the Most High. We have to remember our purpose is on earth is to preach the gospel, help orphans, widows, give as we see need, walk in the commandments of YHWH and make disciples. The Messiah said it best in Matthew 25:31-46; notice there is no reward for believing there are angel/human hybrids or not believing negates one's salvation and or warrants dis-fellowship from the body. If we put too much focus and attention on Nephilim it detracts us from using our talents for the Kingdom. So let us continue to be a light to the world and show the love of the Messiah in our walk. If some still want to believe in angel/human hybrids, please don't be dogmatic about. Shalom.